

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,079	12/16/2004	Michie Sakamoto	042890	3428
	7590 12/27/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	1711		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTUC	12/27/2006	PAPED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,079	SAKAMOTO ET	SAKAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory Listvoyb	1711	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum status or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUTATION OF THIS COMMUTATION OF THIS COMMUTATION. TO STATE OF THIS COMMUTATION OF TH	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•		•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	nn					
2a)□	•	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•					
· _	•	lication					
•	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	_						
7)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
/==	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement					
		n and/or election requirement	•	·			
_	on Papers		. •				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		- · ·	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	al Stage			
	application from the International			Otago			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	, ,,,	not received.				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervi	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3116 6 6 Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/518,079

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and b) as being anticipated by Ando et al (Polymer for Advanced Technologies, 12, 319-331) herein Ando as evidenced by Matsuura et al (Macromolecules, 1991, 24, 5001-5005) herein Matsuura.

Ando discloses fluorine containing polyimides with nx=1.489, ny=1.588 and nz=1.641 (Page 322), which were imidized (cured) at 380C.

Matsuura evidences that 100% imidization for those polymers takes place at temperature over 200C (Page 5004).

Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami (US patent 7128952).

Murakami discloses a polyimide and a method of synthesis and film preparation from a polyamic acid. The polyimide has a weight-average molecular weight (Mw) of 110000, which is first synthesized from 2,2'-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4',5,5'-biphenyl tetracarboxylic dianhydride and 2,2'-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4'-diaminobiphenyl,

Art Unit: 1711

and then a 10 wt% solution of this polyimide was prepared using cyclohexanone as a solvent. The optical characteristics of the final film satisfies the equation nx>ny>nz. (Example 1, column 27).

Although Murakami does not explicitly teach that the above polyimide is 100% imidized, he discloses that the above polymer is exposed to the temperature up to 250 C. (Column 14, line 55), meeting the limitation of Claim 13. It is inherent, that at this temperature polyimide is fully cured.

In reference to Claim 5, Murakami does not measure mechanical properties of the above polyimide. However, since the polymer, disclosed in The Application and in the Reference are identical, Murakami's polyimide inherently meets the limitation of Claim 5 on fracture strength.

Regarding Claims 6-11, Murakami teaches an optical film or multi-layered laminate suitable for optical compensation of a liquid crystal cell. (Column 1, line 5, Column 27, Example 1). The polyamide film is stretched to satisfy in-plane birefringence conditions (nx>ny). (Example 1, Column 27).

Regarding Claim 13, the film is dried at temperature within the range 60-250C.

Art Unit: 1711

Regarding Claim 16 and 17, the plastic base is Triacetate Cellulose (Example 1, column 27).

Claims 1, 9, 12,14 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami (US patent 7128952), evidenced by Brandrup et al (Polymer Handbook, Fourh edition, John Wiley and Sons, 1999, page VII/689), herein Brandrup.

Murakami uses Cyclohexanone as a solvent of a polyamide solution.

Brandrup evidences that the value of a solubility Parameter for Cycloxexanone is 20.3 Mpa ½.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ezzell (US Patent 5969088) evidenced by Ando.

Ezzell discloses a fluoro-containing polyimide and a method of synthesis (Example 1, column 14) and film preparation from a polyamic acid (Column 12, line 65) with further drying the film at 80C.

Art Unit: 1711

The polyimide has a weight-average molecular weight (Mw) of 163000. Cyclohexanone can be used as a solvent (Column 8, line 30).

Ezzell does not explicitly teach about degree of imidization. However, the reference discloses a chemical imidization procedure (Example 1, Column 14), which allows to fully complete the process (imidization temperature of 120C vs 100C in the Application, where imidization is between 98 to 100%).

The Ezzel's optical film for liquid crystal display can be prepared by applying polyamic acid on Polystyrene, Polyester or Polycarbonate (Column 8, line 50).

Ezzell fails to disclose that nx>ny, whereas he discloses that nx>nz As evidenced by Ando, in-plane birefringence (nx>ny), typically occurs during film preparation, when polyimide macromolecules orient along the drawing direction (Page 320).

In order to prepare a polarizing film, it would be obvious to a person with ordinary skills in the art to biaxially stretch Ezzsel's film to increase the difference between nx and ny.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Listvoyb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,079

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Listvoyb Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Application/Control Number: 10/518,079

Art Unit: 1711

Page 7